

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ih

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,677	07/21/2003	Curtis A. Spilburg	P05794US01	5078
MCKEE, VOO	7590 11/15/2007 RHEES & SEASE, P.L	EXAMINER		
801 GRAND A SUITE 3200	VENUE	WEIER, ANTHONY J		
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1794	
		* .		,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/623,677	SPILBURG, CURTIS A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>		Anthony Weier	1794				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	uaust 2007					
		action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	n parte quayre, 1000 o.b. 11, 10	.0 0.0. 210.				
_	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers	, ·					
			•	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P10	-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s) .	•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
		-, <u> </u>					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date-of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Havens.

Havens discloses a process wherein egg laying fowl are fed a diet including an effective amount of cholesterol lowering cabbage wherein cabbage contains a polycosanol (e.g. hexacosanol), said cabbage being added to a typical poultry feed (e.g. Agway Country Egg Layer).

3. Claims 1, 2, and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 4-210560.

JP 4-210560 discloses a process wherein egg laying fowl are fed a cholesterol lowering diet including a rice bran wherein said rice bran naturally contains a polycosanol, said rice bran being included with common formula poultry feed and further comprising a certain amount of vegetable oil, lecithin, and plant sterol supplied from, for example, the crushed soybean (abstract).

10/623,677 Art Unit: 1794

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either one of Havens or JP 4-210560.

The claims further call for the amount of polycosanol to be administered per bodyweight of fowl per day. Such determination would have been well within the purview of a skilled artisan, and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have determined such effective amount of cabbage (containing the polycosanol) in the case of Havens or rice bran in the case of JP 4-210560 to arrive at a respective amount of cabbage or rice bran that provides the amount of polycosanol called for in the instant claims through routine experimental optimization.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive except for those references which have been withdrawn (Lane et al and Katta et al).

Applicant argues that JP 4-210560 does not disclose an amount of polycosanol in poultry feed that will reduce cholesterol in the eggs thus produced. However, because

10/623,677

Art Unit: 1794

JP 4-210560 discloses a fowl feed composition containing rice bran (including polycosanol) causes a drop in the cholesterol of eggs produced, it is expected that the amount of polycosanol contained therein is significant enough to at least contribute in lowering the cholesterol of eggs subsequently produced. Applicant has provided no persuasive evidence that this would not be the case.

Applicant argues that Havens teaches away from the instant invention by employing a different mechanism in lowering cholesterol with cabbage. However, Havens admits that it is not clear that this mechanism actually causes the cholesterol reduction. It is expected that the polycosanol contained in the cabbage would be significant enough to at least contribute in lowering the cholesterol of eggs subsequently produced from fowl fed same. Applicant has provided no persuasive evidence that this would not be the case.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

10/623,677

Art Unit: 1794

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Weier whose telephone number is 571-272-1409. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Weier November 9, 2007 Anthony Weier
Primary Examiner
Act Unit 1761